MONEY Follows THE PERSON
Semi-Annual Analytic Results

Presented to: MFP Evaluation Team
March 13, 2013
Evaluation Semi-Annual Report

- Cumulative through 12/31/2012
- Additional Data
  - Additional Demographics: Gender, Length of Stay at Baseline, Age at Transition
  - All questions by target population
  - Analysis of Second Year Responses
  - Additional discussion of qualitative responses
TARGET POPULATION

One-Year Follow-Up

Two-Year Follow-Up

*PD/OA recoded based on age at date of transition
# Respondent Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 (n=337)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 2 (n=142)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Member Alone</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Member with Assistance</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IN RESIDENCE PRIOR TO TRANSITION*

*Based on responses to the year-one follow-up survey
Participant Gender

One-Year Follow-Up

- Male: 55%
- Female: 45%

Two-Year Follow-Up

- Male: 54%
- Female: 46%
# Average Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One-Year</th>
<th>Two-Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
M1Q3: Do you like where you live?

* p < .05  ** p < .01  *** p < .001
M2Q9: When you are at home, can you eat when you want to?

![Bar chart showing responses to M2Q9 over two years with significance levels.](chart.png)

* p < .05  ** p < .01  *** p < .001
M3Q15: Do you ever go without a bath or shower when you need one?

* p < .05  ** p < .01  *** p < .001
M4Q23: DO THE PEOPLE WHO HELP YOU LISTEN CAREFULLY TO WHAT YOU ASK THEM TO DO?

* *p < .05 **p < .01 ***p < .001
M5Q33: Do you go out to do fun things in your community?

- Baseline
- Year 1 (n = 347)**
- Baseline
- Year 2 (n = 146)

Legend:
- Yes
- No
- Don't Know
- Refused

*p < .05 **p < .01 ***p < .001
M6Q38: During the past week have you felt happy or unhappy with the way you live your life?

- Baseline
- Year 1 (n = 337)***
- Baseline
- Year 2 (n = 144)***

*p < .05  **p < .01  ***p < .001
M7Q39: During the past week have you felt sad or blue?

* p < .05  **p < .01  ***p < .001
Open Ended Comments
July-Sept 2012

- As a result of his new placement, a host home, the client has improved in many ways. He has gained weight, looks healthier than he did before, and has stopped displaying behaviors such as head banging and throwing objects. The behavioral specialist discharged client from his services as the client is no longer needing his care.
  - Interviewer note: The provider describes the client as one of her children and states that life wouldn’t be the same without him. The client is 53 years old and has a developmental disability. This is at the first year follow-up.

- Proxy completed survey for client and stated that client had not received medical care that she needed due to problems with client’s Medicaid. She was in the process of getting client’s Medicaid re-established, but explained that client did not receive/could not access medical care during the time frame when she had no coverage.

- Participant would like to be involved with a volunteer or work program on a regular basis. He attended day programs in the past, but these were not positive for him as his caregiver (cousin) states that he regressed as the day program participants are the same residents from Central State.

- Proxy completed survey for client and stated that because of MFP the client is able to stay with them (her son and daughter in law). They are very thankful that MFP is there otherwise the client would not be home with them. Her son was miserable because the client (his mother) cried everyday in the nursing home. Now, she is with her family, and the son and daughter in law wouldn’t have it any other way.

- Client living in a nursing home reports that she lives in a very nice facility, and all of the staff who work there are nice, too.

- Proxy completed second year follow-up for client. Proxy explained that client is currently facing criminal charges due to client’s behavior toward a staff person (assault). The proxy feels that client would be best served in a hospital setting, one that is highly structured and regimented. Client does not benefit from the freedom provided in the group home setting. She states that the group home cannot afford to pay the number of staff needed in order to handle client when he goes into a rage.
The consumer said, “[The Transition Coordinator] changed everything around to help me when I needed the help to get my apartment ready, without them the landing would have been a lot harder.” He also stated, “They made the transition a lot easier for me, and give them a big pat on the back from me.” The nursing home where he lived did not tell him about MFP (he lived there for three years), but he found out about it from the LTC Ombudsman. He is very happy with his new apartment and stated: “This last year has been the most pleasant of my life.”

A sister of an MFP consumer noted that MFP is a good program that reached out to her brother. The program allows him to live alone.

The mother of an MFP consumer had extensive complaints regarding the MFP program in Georgia. She reports that she lives in Region 6, and the resources there are not helpful or available. She claims that no one has been able to help her and explain what assistance MFP can provide. She moved from Maryland and South Carolina, where she was taught to be an advocate for patients’ health. There they educated her on the federal and state laws, and that is how she knows Georgia is not compliant with federal laws. She is very disappointed with the program, and she recently filed a complaint and mailed a letter to Frank Berry at DBHDD and Gov. Deal. She claims she has not received assistance from the state beyond getting some furniture for her son.

Caregiver of an MFP consumer reports that the consumer has really grown in the community, and the 1-on-1 attention that he gets from being out of the institution is beneficial.

Consumer’s sister reports that she is so disappointed that the client was transitioned from Central State to a group home, had the opportunity to utilize MFP, but never received any services. The sister states that the client’s Social Security was used to purchase items, and some needed supports have been forgone due to not having the funds to pay for them. The group home staff need more information/training and oversight regarding the care they provide and MFP. The sister also feels that the regional office should have provided more oversight of the client’s care and the use of the MFP services. Ultimately she feels that it was a shame that her brother received poor care in the group home and no MFP services were available once he moved into her home.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Code</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012 (Jan-Oct)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Cost Expended</th>
<th>Average Cost</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMD</td>
<td>N=15</td>
<td>$81,065.55</td>
<td>N=130</td>
<td>$353,126.01</td>
<td>N=140</td>
<td>$347,712.37</td>
<td>N=123</td>
<td>$508,537.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQS</td>
<td>N=65</td>
<td>$26,494.18</td>
<td>N=221</td>
<td>$101,293.05</td>
<td>N=383</td>
<td>$208,071.82</td>
<td>N=516</td>
<td>$258,195.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHF</td>
<td>N=84</td>
<td>$43,709.23</td>
<td>N=139</td>
<td>$87,762.27</td>
<td>N=233</td>
<td>$144,804.24</td>
<td>N=364</td>
<td>$227,667.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGS</td>
<td>N=100</td>
<td>$17,538.19</td>
<td>N=260</td>
<td>$62,712.53</td>
<td>N=227</td>
<td>$94,593.83</td>
<td>N=595</td>
<td>$115,245.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBM/COB</td>
<td>N=152</td>
<td>$81,065.55</td>
<td>N=130</td>
<td>$353,126.01</td>
<td>N=140</td>
<td>$347,712.37</td>
<td>N=123</td>
<td>$508,537.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD</td>
<td>N=29</td>
<td>$13,444.00</td>
<td>N=72</td>
<td>$36,651.43</td>
<td>N=79</td>
<td>$30,551.57</td>
<td>N=158</td>
<td>$63,900.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVE</td>
<td>N=41</td>
<td>$8,860.68</td>
<td>N=172</td>
<td>$36,429.92</td>
<td>N=259</td>
<td>$50,413.09</td>
<td>N=291</td>
<td>$46,264.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>N=50</td>
<td>$15,064.19</td>
<td>N=58</td>
<td>$36,315.29</td>
<td>N=61</td>
<td>$27,799.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAD</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>$12.50</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>N=11</td>
<td>$35,539.08</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>$23,001.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTD</td>
<td>N=34</td>
<td>$4,574.26</td>
<td>N=80</td>
<td>$10,674.66</td>
<td>N=89</td>
<td>$13,069.69</td>
<td>N=162</td>
<td>$27,527.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRN</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>$182.50</td>
<td>N=45</td>
<td>$7,161.13</td>
<td>N=110</td>
<td>$21,683.57</td>
<td>N=130</td>
<td>$21,437.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES</td>
<td>N=52</td>
<td>$4,246.50</td>
<td>N=253</td>
<td>$13,052.50</td>
<td>N=105</td>
<td>$8,114.50</td>
<td>N=68</td>
<td>$11,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td>$797.84</td>
<td>N=38</td>
<td>$5,741.26</td>
<td>N=85</td>
<td>$16,402.04</td>
<td>N=65</td>
<td>$9,745.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOR</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>$1,379.13</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>$9,883.68</td>
<td>N=4</td>
<td>$4,628.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>N=54</td>
<td>$12,663.45</td>
<td>N=54</td>
<td>$12,663.45</td>
<td>N=54</td>
<td>$12,663.45</td>
<td>N=54</td>
<td>$12,663.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIS</td>
<td>N=24</td>
<td>$8,976.24</td>
<td>N=24</td>
<td>$8,976.24</td>
<td>N=24</td>
<td>$8,976.24</td>
<td>N=24</td>
<td>$8,976.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGT/COE</td>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>N=14</td>
<td>$1,077.28</td>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yearly Totals: 585 | $225,404.56 | 1,825 | $784,118.95 | 2,051 | $1,054,982.05 | 2,874 | $1,401,952.69 | 7,335 | $3,466,458.25

Grand Total: $3,466,458.25

Note: N= the number of times a category was accessed. One participant may have accessed a category multiple times.

*Services categories were modified and added in June 2012. Life Skills Coaching & Supported Employment Evaluation had no amount billed to date.*