

MFP SEMIANNUAL ANALYTIC RESULTS AND UPDATES

Presented to: MFP Steering Team
October 22, 2014



IMPROVING HEALTH STATUS
AT THE COMMUNITY LEVEL




INTRODUCTION

- New layout for the semiannual report
 - Quality of Life Survey Results
 - This analysis represents the 496 participants who completed both a baseline and a year-one survey and the 353 participants who completed both a baseline and a year-two survey through June 30, 2014.
 - Qualitative Comments
 - MFP demonstration grant funding
- GHPC facilitation of Sustainability Planning


TARGET POPULATION BREAKDOWN

Year 1 (n = 483)



■ DD (255) ■ PD/TBI (160) ■ OA (68)

Year 2 (n = 353)



■ DD (207) ■ PD/TBI (108) ■ OA (38)


LIVING SITUATION MODULE

KEY TAKEAWAYS


- Significantly less year-one and year-two participants lived in a group home or nursing facility as compared with the baseline.
- Significantly more year-one and year-two participants liked where they live, helped picked where they lived, felt safe living there, and could get the sleep they needed without noises or other disturbances.

3. DO YOU LIKE WHERE YOU LIVE?

Yes*
(n = 495)**




Yes*
(n = 352)**




33. DO YOU GO OUT AND DO FUN THINGS IN YOUR COMMUNITY?

Yes
(n = 490)




Yes*
(n = 349)




Additional Question 12. WHAT KEEPS YOU FROM WORKING FOR PAY OR DOING VOLUNTEER WORK?

Year 1 (n = 30)



- Health Condition (19)
- Not sure where to start (6)
- Transportation (5)
- Personal Preference (3)
- Employer /Supplemental Security Income barriers (3)

Year 2 (n = 34)




- Health Condition (20)
- Not sure where to start (8)
- Transportation (6)
- Personal Preference (3)
- Employer /Supplemental Security Income barriers (1)

MFP QUALITATIVE COMMENTS: TAKEAWAYS

- Overall, 22 out of 34 comments on the MFP program were positive.
- 10 out of 30 participants mentioned their negative experiences at the nursing facility where they lived before transitioning with MFP, or that they were happy to be out of the nursing facility even when they weren't fully satisfied with all the MFP services.
- The majority of the negative experiences mentioned focus on the lack of availability, not the quality, of services in three areas: aides, transportation and housing.

FISCAL ANALYSIS





SUSTAINABILITY PLANNING

- GHPC is conducting facilitated sustainability planning discussions focusing on:
 - Reviewing the program’s successes, challenges, and sustained impact,
 - Gathering and organizing evaluation and cost data to be used in making recommendations about continuation of activities,
 - Determining opportunities for the future and diversification of funding, and
 - Assisting with organizing thinking for a high level implementation plan of next steps.

FOR QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS
ABOUT THIS REPORT, CONTACT:

BRITTNEY ROMANSON:
BROMANSON@GSU.EDU

