



GEORGIA DEPARTMENT
OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

Bringing EMS Into Care Coordination: Mobile Healthcare Access & Integration Pilot Study



Presented By:
Tom Fitzgerald, MD
Chairman, Rural Hospital Stabilization Sub-Committee

November 1, 201

Pilot Study Relation to Rural Hospital Stabilization Grant Program

- When hospitals were challenged to ID community partners & access points, EMS was identified as **both**
- Based on this and RHS Project outcomes, SORH designed a study looking specifically at non-traditional utilization of existing EMS resources

“the right care, at the right time, in the right setting, for the right cost”



Overview of Pilot Study

- *This pilot study will evaluate the actual cost, benefit, and value of including EMS in care coordination for rural residents*
 - **Three Year Study Period**
 - Fiscal Years 2018 (study designed), 2019, 2020 (implementation)
 - **Program Divided into Two Phases**
 - ***Phase One (FY19)***
 - Implementation of Mobile Integrated Healthcare/Community Paramedicine Program
 - ***Phase Two (FY20)***
 - Implementation of Transport to Alternate Destination and Treat Without Transport

Phase One Goals

- Closely evaluate every aspect of the MIH/CP Service
 - Exact Cost of Service Delivery
 - Define Measurable Savings to Hospitals & Patients
 - Determine Benefit to Patients and Providers
- Performance Measures to Determine Cost and Value
 - Accountants from Draffin & Tucker will guide collection, evaluation, and reporting of financial measures
- Performance Measures to Determine Benefit
 - Medical Directors and Project Managers will oversee collection, evaluation, and reporting of measures to determine benefits to patients and providers

Phase One Implementation

- Began July 1, 2018
 - Four Pilot Sites
 - Habersham, Washington, Effingham, Miller Counties
 - Two Models
 - EMS Based
 - Hospital Based
- Only 1 Quarter Progress Reported to Date
 - July through September
 - Attachment “A” details progress reported during this period
- Quarterly Reports Will Be Available Throughout Study Period



Baseline Information:

*This is a **collective summary** combining the information from all four sites.*

Total emergency responses requested through “9-1-1” during fiscal year 2018 (July 1, 2017 through June 20, 2018)	18,356
Percentage of responses to scene considered an “emergency response”	88%
Percentage of transports from scene to hospital considered “urgent” or “emergent”	32%
Percentage of emergency responses to scene that resulted in patient contact, but “no transport” of patient	29%

Phase Two Goals (Implementation 2019)

- Include EMS Providers Responding to “9-1-1” Calls in Care Coordination
- Includes Close Medical Director Oversight
- Protocol Driven
- Requires Additional Training for EMS Providers
 - Transport to Alternate Destination
 - Option for “9-1-1” providers to transport appropriately screened patients to locations other than emergency departments
 - Treat Without Transport
 - Option for “9-1-1” providers to treat appropriately screened patients on site without immediate transport to a medical facility



Pilot Study Leadership Team & Pilot Sites

(See Attachment “B”)

Leadership Team

- Principal Investigator
 - Nita Ham, Director SORH Program
- Medical Consultants
 - Becky Abell, MD
 - Stephen Goggans, MD
- Legal Consultant
 - Chris Kelly, Esq.
- Financial Consultants
 - Sarah Detukowski, CPA
 - Robert Cook, CPA

Pilot Site Selection Criteria

- Hospital had been previous recipient of RHS Grant
- Hospital & EMS Leadership agreed to participate/comply with study
- Local Medical Directors involved
- Various Geographical/Public Health Districts
- Engagement of Medical Community



Conclusion

- SORH *Anticipates* Outcomes of Study Will:
 - Provide publishable information and data not currently available
 - Define “billable” services provided through MIH/CP programs
 - Guide conversations with payors to change reimbursement for EMS
 - Improve health and well-being of rural residents through better self-management of chronic conditions
 - Encourage EMS leaders to become more engaged in their medical communities and consider including care coordination initiatives in daily operations
- “Thank You!” to the *Many* Partners Associated with this Study