



MONEY FOLLOWS THE PERSON

1ST QUARTER 2013 QUALITY OF LIFE SURVEY RESULTS

Presented to: MFP Steering Committee
January 17, 2013



TARGET POPULATION*


Target Population	n	%
Older Adult (OA)	60	19
Physical Disability (PD)	84	26
Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)	8	2
Developmental Disability (DD)	171	53

*Identified at baseline

RESPONDENT TYPE

Interviewee	OA Baseline	OA Follow Up	PD/TBI/ABI Baseline	PD/TBI/ABI Follow Up	DD Baseline	DD Follow Up
Sample Member Alone	57%	69%	65%	78%	6%	24%
Sample Member with Assistance	36%	3%	28%	4%	34%	4%
Proxy	7%	28%	7%	19%	60%	73%


M1Q3: DO YOU LIKE WHERE YOU LIVE? YES



*p-value <0.05 indicates statistically significant difference

■ Baseline ■ First Year


M2Q9: WHEN YOU ARE AT HOME, CAN YOU EAT WHEN YOU WANT TO? YES



*p-value <0.05 indicates statistically significant difference

■ Baseline ■ First Year


M3Q15: DO YOU EVER GO WITHOUT A BATH OR SHOWER WHEN YOU NEED ONE? NO



*p-value <0.05 indicates statistically significant difference

■ Baseline ■ First Year


M4Q23: DO THE PEOPLE WHO HELP YOU LISTEN CAREFULLY TO WHAT YOU ASK THEM TO DO? YES



*p-value <0.05 indicates statistically significant difference

■ Baseline ■ First Year


M5Q33: DO YOU GO OUT TO DO FUN THINGS IN YOUR COMMUNITY? YES



*p-value <0.05 indicates statistically significant difference

■ Baseline ■ First Year


M6Q38: DURING THE PAST WEEK HAVE YOU FELT HAPPY OR UNHAPPY WITH THE WAY YOU LIVE YOUR LIFE? HAPPY



*p-value <0.05 indicates statistically significant difference

■ Baseline ■ First Year


M7Q39: DURING THE PAST WEEK HAVE YOU FELT SAD OR BLUE? NO



OPEN ENDED COMMENTS

- The consumer said, “[The Transition Coordinator] changed everything around to help me when I needed the help to get my apartment ready, without them the landing would have been a lot harder.” He also stated, “They made the transition a lot easier for me, and give them a big pat on the back from me.” The nursing home where he lived did not tell him about MFP (he lived there for three years), but he found out about it from the LTC Ombudsman. He is very happy with his new apartment and stated: “This last year has been the most pleasant of my life.”
- A sister of an MFP consumer noted that MFP is a good program that reached out to her brother. The program allows him to live alone.
- The mother of an MFP consumer had extensive complaints regarding the MFP program in Georgia. She reports that she lives in Region 6, and the resources there are not helpful or available. She claims that no one has been able to help her and explain what assistance MFP can provide. She moved from Maryland and South Carolina, where she was taught to be an advocate for patients’ health. There they educated her on the federal and state laws, and that is how she knows Georgia is not compliant with federal laws. She is very disappointed with the program, and she recently filed a complaint and mailed a letter to Frank Berry at DBHDD and Gov. Deal. She claims she has not received assistance from the state beyond getting some furniture for her son.
- Caregiver of an MFP consumer reports that the consumer has really grown in the community, and the 1-on-1 attention that he gets from being out of the institution is beneficial.
- Consumer’s sister reports that she is so disappointed that the client was transitioned from Central State to a group home, had the opportunity to utilize MFP, but never received any services. The sister states that the client’s Social Security was used to purchase items, and some needed supports have been forgone due to not having the funds to pay for them. The group home staff need more information/training and oversight regarding the care they provide and MFP. The sister also feels that the regional office should have provided more oversight of the client’s care and the use of the MFP services. Ultimately she feels that it was a shame that her brother received poor care in the group home and no MFP services were available once he moved into her home.

MFP EXPENDITURES BY CALENDAR YEAR



Amount Billed by Service for 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 (Jan-Oct)

Service Code	Year								By Service			
	2009		2010		2011		2012 (Jan-Oct)		N	Cost Expended	Average Cost	Percentage of Total
	N	Cost	N	Cost	N	Cost	N	Cost				
EMD	15	\$81,065.55	130	\$353,126.01	140	\$347,712.37	123	\$508,537.11	408	\$1,290,441.04	\$3,162.85	37.23%
EQS	65	\$26,494.18	221	\$101,293.05	383	\$208,071.82	516	\$258,195.82	1185	\$594,054.87	\$501.31	17.14%
HHF	84	\$43,709.23	139	\$87,762.27	233	\$144,804.24	364	\$227,667.97	820	\$503,943.71	\$614.57	14.54%
HGS	100	\$17,538.19	260	\$62,712.53	227	\$94,593.83	595	\$115,245.08	1182	\$290,089.63	\$245.42	8.37%
OBM/COB	152	\$21,900.00	365	\$54,450.00	245	\$36,750.00	252	\$34,762.50	1014	\$147,862.50	\$145.82	4.27%
SCD	29	\$13,444.00	72	\$36,651.43	79	\$30,551.57	158	\$63,900.67	338	\$144,547.67	\$427.66	4.17%
MVE	41	\$8,860.68	172	\$36,429.92	259	\$50,413.09	291	\$46,264.01	763	\$141,967.70	\$186.07	4.10%
PSS	0	\$0.00	50	\$15,064.19	58	\$36,315.29	61	\$27,999.80	169	\$79,379.28	\$469.70	2.29%
VAD	1	\$12.50	0	\$0.00	11	\$35,539.08	7	\$23,001.90	19	\$58,553.48	\$3,081.76	1.69%
UTD	34	\$4,574.26	80	\$10,674.66	89	\$13,069.69	162	\$27,527.48	365	\$55,846.09	\$153.00	1.61%
TRN	7	\$182.50	45	\$7,161.13	110	\$21,683.57	130	\$21,437.27	292	\$50,464.47	\$172.82	1.46%
PES	52	\$4,246.50	253	\$13,052.50	105	\$8,114.50	68	\$11,400.00	478	\$36,813.50	\$77.02	1.06%
TSS	3	\$797.84	38	\$5,741.26	85	\$16,402.04	65	\$9,745.20	191	\$32,686.34	\$171.13	0.94%
SOR	1	\$1,379.13	0	\$0.00	13	\$9,883.68	4	\$4,628.19	18	\$15,891.00	\$882.83	0.46%
SMS							54	\$12,663.45	54	\$12,663.45	\$234.51	0.37%
HIS							24	\$8,976.24	24	\$8,976.24	\$374.01	0.26%
CGT/COE	1	\$1,200.00	0	\$0.00	14	\$1,077.28	0	\$0.00	15	\$2,277.28	\$151.82	0.07%
Yearly Totals	585	\$225,404.56	1,825	\$784,118.95	2,051	\$1,054,982.05	2,874	\$1,401,952.69	7,335			
Grand Total										\$3,466,458.25		

Note: N= the number of times a category was accessed. One participant may have accessed a category multiple times

*Services categories were modified and added in June 2012. Life Skills Coaching & Supported Employment Evaluation had no amount billed to date.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

- Gathering data post-transition on approximately 12 additional questions developed by the MFP Evaluation Team
- Sample Topics: general health status, barriers to employment/volunteering, diet limitations/restrictions, housing type, proxy relationship, assistive technology

EVALUATION SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

- Cumulative through 12/31/2012
- Additional Data
 - Additional Demographics: Gender, Length of Stay at Baseline, Age at Transition
 - All questions by target population
 - Analysis of Second Year Responses
 - Additional discussion of qualitative responses